



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,842	08/31/2000	Eliana Peres	8673-110(8061-518 SJP/rs)	•	
22150	7590 10/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC			ENG, DAVID Y		
WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	2.44	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A B A A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/651,842	PERES, ELIANA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID Y. ENG	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Au	<u>ugust 2005</u> .					
,						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-17 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and s	. Gloonoff roquitornom					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/651,842

Art Unit: 2155

Claims 1-9 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Scope of limitation of the following claim language is not clear:

- 1. "camping on the communications pathway". It is not clear what camping means.
- 2. "redirecting the communication". It is not clear to where and through which communication path the communications are redirected.

Further, it is not clear whether the originating device or the destination device take the alternate action. It appears that the action of call back and camping are taken by the destination device and not by the originating device as recited.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim1-9 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fijolek (USP 6,223,222) in view of Salihi (USP 5,077,791) and Gehr (USP 5,828,847).

Fijolek teaches claim combination set forth in the previous Office (mailed 5/12/2004). Call back, queuing (camping) and redirect are well know alternatives when communication paths are not available. Gehr teaches redirect (lines 33-35 column 2) and Salihi teaches call back and queuing denied requests if the request for communication resources is denied because the resources are not available (lines 6-11 column 5). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to

Application/Control Number: 09/651,842

Art Unit: 2155

incorporate the alternatives as taught by Salihi and Gehr so that communication between the originating device and destination device is possible.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to DAVID Y. ENG at telephone number 571-272-3984.

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER